| * | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/030,656 | HAGIHARA ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Allan Olsen | 1763 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application | n: <u>allowed</u> |
| (1) <u>Allan Olsen</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Christopher Kent</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: <u>25 March 2004</u> | Time: <u>15:00 EST</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: | cant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: none | | |
| Claims discussed: 6 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN The attached Examiner's amendment was agreed upon. | ERAL NATURE OF WHA | AT WAS DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. Tof the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ. | The examiner will provide e record of the substance | e of the interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | <u>-</u> |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica | ant/Applicant's Represent | ative Signature – if appropriate) |